

1    **A.1 Technology Name**

2    Fossil Fuel Traps

3    **A.1.1 Source**

4    GHD - Not published

5    **A.1.2 Summary**

<b>Media:</b>	Soil gas
<b>Study Type:</b>	Other
<b>Technology:</b>	Accumulation
<b>Peer Reviewed:</b>	No
<b>Publication Date:</b>	Not published

6

7    **A.1.3 Site Description**

- 8        • General site description and conditions – Above ground release of approximately 190
- 9        cubic metres of crude oil to a pump station in British Columbia. The release resulted in
- 10       impacts to the soil and groundwater within the pump station property.
- 11       • Contaminants of concern – LNAPL
- 12       • Sampling frequency of contaminants of concern – approximately semi-annual
- 13       • Technology used – Fossil Fuel Traps

14    **A.1.4 Remedial Phase**

15    Used in assessment of light non-aqueous phase liquid (LNAPL) biodegradation, known as

16    natural source zone depletion or NSZD, for evaluation as a potential basis for the long-term

17    LNAPL management plan.

18    **A.1.5 Outcome**

19    Results from NSZD testing conducted to date (fossil fuel CO2 trap testing and temperature

20    profiling) indicate that LNAPL in the subsurface is actively degrading at an average rate of

21    1,000-4,000 U.S. gallons of LNAPL degraded per acre per year.

22    **A.1.6 References**

23    List references or citations.

- 24    1. ITRC, 2018. LNAPL Site Management: LCSM Evolution, Decision Process, and Remedial
- 25    Technologies, LNAPL- Washington, D.C.: Interstate Technology & Regulatory Council.
- 26    LNAPL Update Team
- 27    2. American Petroleum Institute (API), May 2017. Publication #4784 – Qualification of Vapor
- 28    Phase-related Natural Source Zone Depletion Processes, First Edition

- 29 3. Cooperative Research Centre for Contaminated Site Assessment and Remediation (CRC  
30 CARE), August 2018. Technical Report 44: Technical measurement guidance for LNAPL  
31 natural source zone depletion  
32